Draft for Discussions

TABULATION OF TASK SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGIES FOR RECORDIM

Task Group Proposal

Task Group Name/ Title: <u>Tabulation of Task Specific Technologies for RecorDIM</u>

Chairperson: Divay Gupta Organisation INTACH

Information User representative: Organisation

Information Provider representative: Organisation

Information User & Provider representative: Organisation

Project Outline

During the RecorDim round table discussions some 'users' had felt a need for a Task Specific usage of Technologies in Recording & Documentation of the cultural heritage. Though there are many 'providers' as well product available for various needs , perhaps due to lack of information and awareness regarding these, the users are not able to take an 'informed decision' many a times. Thus there is a need to 'bridge this gap' and formulate a user friendly tool which should assist a 'users' to take the decisions regarding the most appropriate technique for their specific tasks.

Identified gap no ??? References????

Objective

To formulate a matrix regarding task specific possibilities of technologies for RecorDim.

To integrate though the matrix, components related to Training, & Information Warehouse of the RecorDIM Initiative.

Methodology

A Reference object perhaps a small historic building (about 10-20 cum) will be selected for demonstration. Various techniques and technologies will then be used to record and document this building using the various degrees of precisions, equipments, resource availability and purpose. The collected information thus will be tabulated as template given below:

Template

RecorDIM Task	Precision & Constrains	Methods, Technologies & Equipments	Analysis	Procurements	
	Accuracy	1.	Strengths	Providers Link 2 Info Warehouse	
Defining	Bench marks		Weakness		
Task,	Specifications		Cost efficiency	Technology	Provider
Objectives	Time Frames		Training		
	Costs		Requirements-		
1.		2.			
2.		3.			
3.					

Expected Out puts & Deliverables :

The above proposal envisions not only a matrix of possibilities for recordim techniques but also hope to integrate the present initiatives into it.

The above study will be used to collect all possibilities available for recordim and then analyse them for their effectiveness and efficiency.

The task group will produce an open ended matrix with possibilities of techniques available for various recordim tasks as well as link to info-warehouse for its procurement. This will also identify training requirements for various users and providers for various techniques which may be taken up latter by the relevant task group already existing for the purpose.

It is proposed that such a matrix can become part of the proposed Reordim handbook being developed by the GETTY.

Project resources

Person- day

There will be a certain amount of time requirement for this task. About 2-3 hours per week should be sufficient.

Initially specific recordim tasks & objectives will be registered by the users keeping the reference building in mind.

This list can then be forwarded to the providers to give their inputs.

This will then be compiled into the template based on the discussion between users and providers.

Most of this interaction can be facilitated through internet.

Budget

The person days is proposed to be a voluntary effort and no budget is required for that at the moment. My organisation will be glad to provide for my time (max 2 hrs per week) as well as other institute in India may give required administrative and technical support but no financial support will be possible. If there will be requirement for funds, task group will need to seek financial assistance for the same if and when the need arise.

Partners & group members (Proposed- to be discussed):

INTACH – India School of planning and architecture – dept of architectural conservation – India Indian institute of technology – Kanpur

Iccrom (Herb stovel)

EH (Bill Blake, Sarah Lunnon)

Unesco (Mario Santana)

Walter (south Africa)

GCI ICOMOS CIPA NPS, Parks Canada, Aus heritage Tehran Tech Univ – dept of survey Some representatives from Providers and vendors ??

Milestones

Proposed Starting date – April 2004

Inputs from users- tasks definitions summer 2004 (july)

Inputs from providers- technique possibilities – Autumn 2004 (oct)

Project review & Discussions - Winter 2004 (dec)

Expected Completion date- Spring 2005 (feb- march)